Octan-1-ol / water partition coefficients of p-benzoand p-naphthoquinones corrected for pH effect[†]

Franck E. Dayan*

United States Department of Agriculture-ARS Natural Products Utilization Research Unit, PO Box 8048, University, MS 38677, USA

A method was developed to quench the effect of pH on the determination of octan-1-ol / water partition coefficients (k_{ow}) of p-benzo- and p-naphthoquinones by using a mildly buffered aqueous phase.

Keywords: log*P*, pH effect, k_{ow}, quinones, octanol/water coefficient

Quinones are important multifunctional biomolecules that are involved in many processes necessary for living organisms. One of their primary functions is to serve as electron acceptors/donors in redox cycles of cellular energy metabolism such as mitochondrial respiration and photosynthesis. ^{1–3} The diversity of structures found in natural quinones forms the basis for their versatility of functions. Many of the redox cycles involving quinones are localised within membranes to protect other biochemical processes from the potential deleterious effects of the highly reactive nature of some of the intermediate steps. As a result, biological quinones have specific lipophilic properties that allow them to remain compartmentalised.^{4,5}

In spite of their biological importance, relatively little information is available on the lipophilicity of quinones,^{6,7} and the limited amount of published data on these molecules contains

inconsistencies. We postulated that contamination in the samples altered the pH of the aqueous phase during octan-1-ol/water partition coefficient ($k_{\rm ow}$) determination.

When tested, the log*P* values (log10 k_{ow}) of 2,5-dichloro-*p*-benzoquinone (**2**) and 2-hydroxy-3-methyl-*p*-naphthoquinone (**21**) were indeed strongly affected by the pH of the aqueous phase used in the shake-flask experiment. For **2**, log*P* values at pHs 5, 7, and 9 were 1.8, 1.5 and 1.1, respectively, whereas the log*P* values were 2.5, 1.1, and 1.0 for **21**, respectively. Increasing the pH yielded apparent decrease in the lipophilicity of the quinones.

Therefore, a modified octan-1-ol/water partition protocol using slightly buffered water (1 mM Hepes) to maintain the pH at 7.0 was developed for reliable determination of the log*P* for a series of *p*-benzo- and *p*-naphthoquinones. Using this

Table 1 Log P of selected p-benzoquinones.

$$R_4$$
 R_3
 R_2

	Substitutions				
id	R ₁	R ₂	R ₃	R ₄	Log <i>P</i>
1	Н	Н	Н	Н	0.20
2	CI	Н	CI	Н	1.59
3	<i>t</i> -butyl	Н	Н	Н	1.65
4	<i>t</i> -butyl	CI	CH ₃	Н	1.80
5	CI	CI	CI	CI	2.29
5	1	I	1	1	2.47
7	Н	phenyl	Н	Н	2.51
8	Br	Br	Br	Br	2.53
9	<i>t</i> -butyl	Н	CH ₃	Н	2.65
10	CI	Br	<i>t</i> -butyl	Н	2.66
11	Br	CH ₃	Br	Br	3.01
12	Н	<i>p</i> -tolyl	Н	Н	3.01
13	Br	CH ₃	Н	Н	3.10
14	OH	pentadecanyl	OCH ₃	Н	2.73
15	OH	8,11,14-pentadecatrienyl	OCH ₂ CH ₃	Н	3.28
16	OH	8,11,14-pentadecatrienyl	OCH ₃	Н	3.56
17	OCH ₃	8,11,14-pentadecatrienyl	OCH ₃	Н	4.32

^{*} To receive any correspondence. E-mail: fdayan@ars.usda.gov

Table 2 Log*P* of selected *p*-naphthoquinones.

$$\bigcap_{R_3} \bigcap_{O} \bigcap_{R_2}$$

		Substitutions		
id	R ₁	R ₂	R ₃	Log <i>P</i>
18	ОН	Br	Н	0.66
19	ОН	Н	Н	0.67
20	ОН	CI	Н	0.88
21	ОН	CH ₃	Н	1.06
22	Н	н	Н	1.85
23	Н	Н	ОН	2.05
24	Н	Br	Н	2.11
25	ОН	3-CI-3-methylbutyl	Н	2.22
26	ОН	2-methylbutyl	Н	2.46
27	Н	<i>n</i> -butyl	Н	2.46
28	ОН	dodecylaminomethyl	Н	2.69
29	CI	CH ₃	Н	2.69
30	ОН	CH ₂ CHC(CH ₃) ₂	Н	2.77
31	ОН	<i>i</i> -butyl	Н	2.77
32	Br	Br	Н	2.87
33	ОН	2-phenylbutyl	Н	2.94
34	ОН	3-methylbutyl	Н	3.08
35	ОН	2,3-dimethylbutyl	Н	3.17
36	CI	CI	Н	3.22
37	CH ₃	Н	ОН	3.69
38	OH	4-tolylpropyl	Н	3.89
39	ОН	5-methylhexyl	Н	4.09

[†] This is a Short Paper, there is therefore no corresponding material in *J Chem. Research (M)*.

method, the logP values of several of the quinones were within a narrow range of their published values. For example, the logP values of compounds 1, 21, 22, 23, 24, 29, and 32 (Tables 1 and 2) were within 0.15 units of their published values.^{6,7} In cases where erroneous log*P* values had been noticed in the literature, such as with the p-naphthoquinones 19 and 21, our protocol enabled accurate measurement of the logP(Table 2). The published log P of **19** (1.38) is greater than that of 21 (1.20),6 whereas the presence of the methyl group on 21 would dictate that its log P should be greater than that of 19. Using our protocol, the logP value of 21 remained within 0.14 unit at 1.06, whereas the value for 19 was significantly lower, at 0.67. This value also falls nicely within the range of similar p-naphthoquinones such as 18 and 20, with logP of 0.66 and 0.88, respectively.

The published value for the dichloro-p-naphthoquinone 36 was listed as approximately 2.567 but the value measured using mildly buffered aqueous phase was significantly higher, at 3.22. The logP values for all remaining compounds, including those of the natural p-benzoquinones ethoxysorgoleone (15) and sorgoleone (16), and the natural p-naphthoquinones lawsone (19), juglone (23), lapachol (30), and plumbagine (37), have to our knowledge never been published previously.

The use of a mildly buffered aqueous phase during the measurement of octan-1-ol/water coefficient prevents undesirable changes in pH. The advantage of this modified shake-flask protocol is evident in this model study using quinones, and may also have broad applications for the determination of logP of any samples that contain impurities that affect pH.

Experimental

Octan-1-ol was mixed with 1 mM Hepes (N-2-hydroxyethylpiperazine-N'-2-ethane-sulfonic acid, sodium salt, pK_a 7.55) buffer, pH 7.0, 1:1 v/v and allowed to separate at room temperature (25°C). Stock solutions of each quinone were prepared in the water saturated octan-1-ol and their respective calibration curves (based on half-log incremental dilution from 333 to 3.3 µM) were obtained spectrophotometrically at A280 on a Shimadzu model UV3101PC spectrophotometer with the cell thermostabilised at 25°C. The k_{ow} was obtained either in 1/25 or 1/50 (octan-1-ol/buffer) ratios and all measurements were triplicated. The effect of pH on the octan-1-ol/water coefficient of quinones was determined as described above, except that the pH of the aqueous phase was adjusted to 5, 7 and 9 with either 0.1N NaOH

Received 29 January 2002; accepted 25 May 2002 Paper 02/1222

References

- 1 Z. Zhang, L. Huang, V. M. Shulmeister, Y.-I. Chi, K.K. Kim, L.-W. Hung, A.R. Crofts and E.A. Berry. Nature, 1998, 392, 677.
- B. Rabenstein, G. M. Ullmann and E.-W. Knapp. Biochemistry, 2000, 39, 10487.
- S. De Vries. Chem. Scr., 1987, 27, 155.
- E.A. Berry, Z. Zhang, L.-S. Huang and S.-H. Kim. Biochem. Soc. Trans., 1999, 27, 565.
- C.A. Boicelli, C. Ramponi, E. Casali and L. Masotti. Membr. Biochem., 1981, 4, 105.
- 6 D.J. Currie, C.E. Lough, R. F. Silver and H.L. Holmes. Can. J. Chem., 1966, 44, 1035.
- C. Hansch, A. Leo and D. Hoekman. Exploring QSAR: Hydrophobic, electronic, and steric constants. American Chemical Society, Washington D.C. 1995.